

The Role of Human Resources in Mediating Workplace Conflicts

Elvira Sitna Hajar^{1*}, Reno Marfiansya², Neila Aisha^{3, 4}

¹ Department of Management, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Ganesha, Jakarta, Indonesia

² Department of Management, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Ganesha, Jakarta, Indonesia

³ Department of Management, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Ganesha, Jakarta, Indonesia

⁴ Department of Global Business, Kyungsoong University, Busan 48434, Republic of Korea

* Corresponding author: elvira@stieganessa.ac.id

Abstract

Workplace conflict represents a natural component of organizational dynamics, emerging from the diversity of backgrounds, interests, and power relationships among individuals. Poor conflict management can harm productivity, reduce employee engagement, and increase staff turnover rates. The Human Resources (HR) department holds a crucial position in conflict mediation, serving not merely as facilitators but also as architects and administrators of internal dispute resolution mechanisms. This article examines qualitatively the multifaceted role of HR in conflict mediation, encompassing necessary mediator competencies, commonly encountered obstacles, and strategic implications for organizational well-being. The research demonstrates that effective HR-directed mediation can diminish conflict escalation, enhance team solidarity, and boost employee involvement. Nevertheless, challenges including preserving neutrality, addressing power disparities, and overcoming managerial opposition require attention. The article suggests strengthening HR mediation capabilities through systematic training, transparent policies, and quantifiable assessment frameworks to foster a robust and cooperative workplace environment.

Keywords: Conflict mediation; Employee retention; Human Resources; Mediator neutrality; Workplace conflict management

Received Sep 22, 2025 · Revised Nov 13, 2025 · Accepted Dec 18, 2025

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Academic Society of Food and Service Management. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0, <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Every workplace inevitably experiences conflict. This is not something unusual or to be entirely avoided (Rahim MA, 2023). Conflict is indeed a natural part of human interaction, especially when individuals with diverse backgrounds, personalities, and goals must collaborate daily (Gaba&Joseph, 2023). The issue lies not in the existence of conflict itself, but in how it is managed. A growing body of empirical research indicates that unmanaged workplace conflict can significantly damage the work environment, weaken team cohesion, reduce productivity, increase absenteeism, and elevate employee turnover intention (Kundi et al. 2022, Lipsky et al., 2020) When conflict remains unresolved, employees may disengage psychologically, become frequently absent, or ultimately exit the organization, resulting in substantial organizational and human capital costs (Fong et al., 2022)

This is where the role of HR becomes critically important. In the past, many viewed the HR department merely as a unit handling personnel administration and ensuring compliance with regulations. However, contemporary human resource management literature emphasizes the strategic evolution of HR toward a proactive role in managing employee relations, organizational well-being, and internal conflict resolution (Saundry et al., 2021; CIPD, 2023). Within this strategic shift, HR is increasingly expected to function as an internal mediator capable of facilitating constructive dialogue and preventing conflict escalation (Kaur 2025)

Why is HR suitable as a mediator? HR holds a unique position within the organization. They understand the company culture, are familiar with the intricacies of policies, know the dynamics among employees, and have access to necessary information. This institutional positioning enables HR to balance employee interests with organizational objectives, placing HR in a “middle position” that is particularly conducive to mediation (Lipsky et al., 2020).

Unlike top-down approaches from management that often result in unilateral decisions, mediation provides space for all parties to speak and seek mutual solutions. The principle is simpler rather than producing winners and losers, mediation emphasizes dialogue, mutual understanding, and collaborative problem-solving, which research shows leads to more sustainable conflict outcomes (Park., 2022)

In mediation, HR acts as a neutral facilitator. Their task is not to determine who is right or wrong, but to assist conflicting parties in. Expressing their feelings and concerns safely, understanding the other party's perspective, finding common ground and shared interests and discovering creative solutions that benefit all parties. Studies in contemporary conflict management literature highlight that such facilitative approaches enhance trust, reduce defensiveness, and improve agreement compliance (Park, 2022).

What will be discussed in this article? This article will investigate deeper into how HR can effectively perform its mediation role. The discussion includes, assessing conflicts suitable for mediation not all conflicts are appropriate for mediation. HR needs to know when mediation is the best choice, and when it is better to use other approaches or involve higher authorities (Lipsky et al., 2020). Prior research emphasizes that inappropriate use of mediation may exacerbate conflict, particularly in cases involving severe power asymmetry or policy violations (Saundry et al., 2021) Skills required for HR mediators becoming a good mediator requires more than basic communication skills (Bonilla at al., 2020). HR must master active listening techniques, empathy, asking the right questions, managing emotions (both their own and other's), and remaining neutral in difficult situations(Bingenheimer, 2019). Steps in the mediation process has clear stages, starting from preparation, setting ground rules, listening to complaints from all parties, finding common interests, brainstorming solutions, negotiation, to creating written agreements and follow-up (Gramberg V. et al., 2020).

Challenges faced by HR the role as a mediator is not easy. HR often faces ethical dilemmas, situations where power is imbalanced between parties, or when one party is uncooperative (Lipsky et al., 2020). Not to mention structural challenges such as management support and time constraints. Benefits of mediation for the organization when done well, HR mediation not only resolves individual conflicts. Its impact is broader that teams become more solid, work culture improves, legal risks decrease, and employees become more engaged (Gramberg et al., 2020). All of this contributes to the company's strategic goals. Building an internal mediation system for effectiveness, mediation needs to be part of a structured HR

system. This includes creating clear policies, providing ongoing training, and routine program evaluation (Park, 2022).

Through in-depth discussion of HR's role in conflict mediation, this article aims to demonstrate the immense value of modern HR functions for organizations. Not just from an administrative perspective, but as strategic partners that help create healthy and productive work environments. The hope is that organizations can better utilize the full potential of their HR departments. Because ultimately, the ideal workplace is not one free of conflict, but one that can manage conflict well transforming it from a threat into an opportunity for growth and team strengthening.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Workplace Conflict: Nature and Impact

Workplace conflict is widely regarded as an inevitable and intrinsic aspect of organizational life, arising from disparities in values, interests, roles, power dynamics, and communication styles (Nicotera & Jameson, 2021) . Recent studies highlight that although conflict is not intrinsically harmful, mismanaged or unresolved conflict produces significant negative impacts at both individual and organizational levels, it can negatively affect team productivity, reduce employee engagement, and increase turnover (Peng et al., 2023).

In addition to individual level consequences, conflict also has broader social and relational impacts within organizations. Interpersonal conflicts frequently spill over to undermine team cohesion, psychological safety, and the overall organizational climate (Peng et al., 2023). Park (2022) emphasizes the domino effect of interpersonal conflict, where tension between two employees can influence the morale and performance of the entire team. This view aligns with research suggesting that conflict not only disrupts individual work processes but also undermines team cohesion and organizational climate (Gramberg et al., 2019).

Within this context, the evolving role of HR reflects the increasing need for organizations to actively manage employee relations. While HR functions were traditionally administrative, modern human resource management acknowledges conflict resolution as a strategic

necessity. Contemporary human resource management research emphasizes the responsibility of HR practitioners to intervene proactively, facilitate constructive dialogue, and prevent the escalation of conflicts before they generate detrimental organizational outcomes (Saundry et al., 2021; CIPD, 2023).

2.2 The Role of HR as Mediator

HR professionals, within an evolving strategic HRM landscape, are increasingly expected to operate as internal mediators. Situated institutionally between management and employees, they enjoy privileged access to organizational knowledge, relationship histories, and policy frameworks that are critical for effective dispute resolution. Early work portrayed this “middle position” as a primarily structural advantage (Bingham & Pitts, 2002), whereas more recent research reframes HR’s mediating role as inherently relational and strategic, closely tied to how HR builds proximity, trust, and influence across organizational actors (Saundry et al., 2020)

Empirical evidence suggest that internal HR mediators are often better placed than external neutrals to settle workplace conflicts because they possess rich contextual intelligence about organizational culture, informal power structures, and existing employment relationships (Bingenheimer, 2019; Van Gramberg et al., 2020). Such embedded knowledge enables HR to craft resolutions that not only satisfy the disputing parties but also align with the broader architecture of HR systems, employment relations, and organizational strategy (Roper & Higgins, 2020; Saundry et al., 2020)

At the same time, the literature consistently highlights a persistent tension between closeness and neutrality. HR’s integrated role simultaneously supporting managerial objectives, ensuring legal/procedural compliance, and addressing employee concerns can generate doubts about impartiality, especially in disputes involving senior managers. Studies of conflict management systems show that HR’s dual allegiance to employees and the organization is one of the most enduring challenges for HR led dispute resolution, with neutrality better understood as a negotiated, context-dependent practice rather than a fixed or easily maintained condition (Roper & Higgins, 2020; Saundry et al., 2020)

2.3 Mediation as a Conflict Management Strategy

Mediation is defined by Moore (2014) as a facilitated negotiation process in which a neutral third party assists disputing individuals in identifying their interests and collaboratively generating solutions. Unlike adjudicative processes, mediation focuses on achieving mutually acceptable outcomes rather than declaring winners and losers (Gramberg et al., 2020)

Van Gramberg, Bamber, and Teicher (2020) note that mediation not only resolves immediate disputes but also helps restore and strengthen long-term workplace relationships. By emphasizing interests rather than positions, mediation encourages collaboration and fosters trust among employees.

Recent study indicates that mediation facilitates not only dispute resolution but also relationship restoration and sustained collaboration. Mediation diminishes the probability of reoccurring conflict and promotes constructive engagement among employees by cultivating trust, psychological safety, and open communication (Saundry et al., 2021; CIPD, 2024). Research indicates that mediation correlates with increased compliance with agreements and reduced escalation rates in comparison to formal grievance or disciplinary procedures (Gramberg et al., 2020).

2.4 Challenges Faced by HR Mediators

Despite its potential advantages, HR-led mediation encounters numerous structural and relational obstacles that hinder implementation. A commonly referenced concern is perceived bias, arising from HR's obligation to enforce company policies while also ensuring equitable conflict resolution. Lipsky et al. (2020) observe that this dual position may compromise the credibility of mediators, especially in conflicts characterized by hierarchical power.

Power imbalances represent a critical challenge. Employees in subordinate positions may suppress their concerns or engage in defensive silence out of fear of negative repercussions, thereby weakening the effectiveness of mediation (López et al., 2025; Chen & Park, 2025). Such dynamics reduce voluntary participation and constrain open dialogue, increasing the likelihood that outcomes remain superficial or fail to endure over time.

Further obstacles encompass inadequate mediation skills, ethical issues about confidentiality, and lack organizational backing. Bonilla et al. (2020) assert that emotional control, empathy, and active listening are crucial mediating abilities; nonetheless, numerous HR practitioners undergo minimal formal training in these domains. Deciu (2022) emphasizes that uncertain ethical boundaries and procedural inconsistencies undermine trust in HR mediation. These problems collectively underscore the necessity for specialized training, structural safeguards, and well-defined mediation procedures.

2.5 Constructive Conflict: A Theoretical Perspective

Elgoibar, Euwema, and Munduate (2017) introduce the concept of “constructive conflict management,” which reframes conflict as an opportunity for growth and innovation. Through mediation, conflicts that initially appear destructive can be transformed into learning experiences that strengthen team relationships.

From this theoretical standpoint, HR mediation serves as a mechanism for transforming destructive conflict into constructive dialogue. By enabling parties to articulate underlying interests and emotions, mediation supports organizational learning and strengthens relational resilience. This perspective positions HR not merely as a conflict resolver, but as a strategic actor contributing to sustainable organizational development.

2.6 Identified Research Gaps

Although the expanding literature of research on HR has led mediation but some significant gaps persist unresolved. Initially, there remains lacks of scientific evidence illustrating quantifiable outcomes such as enhancements in employee engagement, decreases in turnover intention, or advances in organizational performance. Secondly, the current literature provides limited comprehensive frameworks that consolidate best practices for establishing and maintaining internal mediation processes inside enterprises. The discourse on the neutrality challenge in the HR mediation function is inadequately developed, especially about how HR might reconcile organizational loyalty with unbiased facilitation (Saundry et al., 2021). These constraints underscore the necessity for comprehensive academic investigation

into the strategic role of HR mediation in cultivating constructive conflict cultures and enhancing long-term organizational resilience.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study utilizes a qualitative research design through thematic content analysis, considered suitable for the complex interpersonal dynamics, contextual factors, and emotional complexities associated with HR led workplace mediation that quantitative methods alone cannot adequately address. The analysis adhered to Braun and Clarke's (2006) esteemed six-phase framework, starting with literature familiarization, followed by creating of initial codes, theme identification, theme review, theme definition and classification, and finishing in the final synthesis. Through this structured process, the study systematically examined relevant publications to identify central themes regarding the role of HR in workplace mediation, the challenges commonly encountered in practice, and HR's strategic contribution to organizational well-being. This qualitative methodology facilitates a comprehensive understanding of critical aspects of mediation, including the conflict between impartiality and policy enforcement, the emotional and relational dimensions of conflict, the diversity of organizational cultures and power structures, and the congruence of theoretical frameworks with practical HR applications.

3.2. Data collection

Data for this study were obtained through methodical searches across major academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, EBSCOhost, and Google Scholar. The approach employed Boolean operators that employ logical connections like AND, OR, and NOT to refine and narrow search results by combining keywords or excluding specific terms, so enhancing search accuracy. For example, the phrases "HR mediation" AND "workplace conflict resolution", "mediator neutrality" OR "power imbalance", and "constructive conflict management" NOT "legal arbitration" allowed the researcher to identify the most relevant papers while excluding irrelevant content. This methodical methodology initially generated

150 documents, which were further reviewed and filtered according to established inclusion and exclusion criteria to guarantee the relevance and quality of the sources included in the research.

3.3 Data Screening and Selection Process

The screening and selection process followed a PRISMA 2020 informed approach (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses), adapted to the objectives of a qualitative thematic review. Although this study does not constitute a systematic review or meta-analysis in the strict statistical sense, PRISMA principles were applied to enhance transparency, methodological rigor, and replicability in the identification and selection of relevant literature.

Screening was conducted in three sequential stages. First, titles and abstracts were independently screened by two reviewers to assess relevance to HR-led mediation and workplace conflict management. Second, full-text articles were evaluated for methodological quality, theoretical contribution, and alignment with internal mediation contexts. Third, final inclusion was determined through reviewer consensus, with disagreements resolved through discussion and, where necessary, consultation with a third reviewer.

3.4 Data Analysis

The analysis followed Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-phase thematic framework, which involves moving systematically through familiarization with the literature, generating initial codes, organizing codes into categories, developing and reviewing emerging themes, and finally refining and defining the thematic structure. Both inductive and deductive coding approaches were applied to allow theoretical concepts and data-driven insights to develop in parallel. Examples of early codes that emerged during this process included terms such as "*neutrality tension*," "*contextual intelligence*," and "*power asymmetry strategy*." These codes were then grouped into three overarching thematic categories representing key dimensions of HR mediation capability and its broader strategic implications.

The literature selected for synthesis was screened using predefined criteria to ensure relevance and quality. Included sources were required to be peer-reviewed journal articles

indexed in Scopus Q1–Q3, published between 2018 and 2025, directly related to internal workplace mediation or HR conflict management, and written in English. Studies were excluded if they were published in predatory journals, focused solely on external arbitration or legal processes, or lacked theoretical contribution. Following the screening and removal of duplicates, 31 documents were retained for in-depth thematic analysis.

Credibility and dependability were strengthened through several ethical and methodological safeguards. Triangulation was applied by incorporating diverse forms of evidence including empirical studies, systematic reviews, conceptual papers, and industry-based reports to reduce publication bias. Peer debriefing with three experienced HR practitioners was conducted to validate the real-world relevance of the findings. Additionally, an audit trail was maintained to document analytical decisions transparently, and ethical integrity was ensured through accurate citation practices, avoidance of plagiarism, and protection of confidential or sensitive information.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Thematic Findings

The thematic synthesis identified three major dimensions that illustrate the evolving strategic role of HR in workplace conflict mediation: the hybrid mediator function, emotional de-escalation capability, and systemic conflict-management effectiveness. These themes show that HR is no longer just an administrative unit; it is now also a strategic mediator whose job is to help people resolve conflicts in a positive way and improve the alignment of the organization.

The first theme, the hybrid mediator function, shows that HR has two jobs, to meet the needs of employees and the needs of the organization. HR is in a unique position to help people talk to each other fairly and work together to find a solution because it knows about company policies, workplace culture, and how people get along with each other (Bingenheimer, 2019; Bingham & Pitts, 2002). This role, however, also brings up problems, especially when workers think that HR is biased toward management in disputes between levels of management (Lipsky et al., 2020). This tension shows how important dynamic neutrality is,

where neutrality is shown through open processes, fair communication, and decisions based on facts rather than emotional detachment.

The second theme, the ability to calm down emotionally, turned out to be very important for successful mediation. Conflict is fundamentally emotional, and proficient mediators must adeptly manage intense emotions through empathy, emotional regulation, active listening, and reflective reframing (Bonilla et al., 2020). Studies indicate that these emotional competencies foster trust, diminish defensiveness, and elevate the probability that parties will comply with mutually accepted resolutions (CIPD, 2023; Van Gramberg et al., 2020). In conclusion, the findings show that emotional intelligence is often just as important, if not more so, than procedural knowledge for finding a lasting solution.

The third theme, systemic conflict-management effectiveness, emphasizes the significance of organizational infrastructure in determining mediation success. Research indicates that mediation is most effective when supported by formal systems, including explicit policies, leadership dedication, organized procedures, and measurable outcomes (Saundry et al., 2021; SHRM, 2022). On the other hand, organizations that don't have established systems tend to have inconsistent results, unresolved tensions, and long-lasting breakdowns in relationships.

4.2 Strategic HR Mediation

Integrative model aimed at enhancing internal workplace mediation, derived from the synthesized findings. The framework defines HR mediation not as a simple process, but as a strategic organizational skill that is based on a set of interconnected skills, such as dynamic neutrality, contextual intelligence, emotional de-escalation, power-asymmetry management, and ethical judgment. These skills all work together to make mediation more effective and have a lasting effect on the organization.

The model shows a clear path that starts with things that lead to conflict, like tension between people, structural inequality, or a breakdown in communication. These circumstances necessitate HR mediation capabilities, which empower mediators to promote constructive dialogue and equitable decision-making. When used correctly, these skills make the mediation process more effective by restoring trust, working together to solve problems,

making agreements, and lowering the chances of escalation. In the end, the process leads to strategic organizational outcomes, such as more engaged employees, less turnover, better team cohesion, a safer workplace culture, and lower costs related to disputes (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Strategic HR Mediation Capability Framework

4.3 Implications

The findings of this review contribute to conflict management theory by reframing workplace mediation through a capability perspective. Whereas previous research has tended to conceptualize mediation primarily as a procedural intervention or a sequence of formal steps (Moore, 2014), this review highlights mediation as a dynamic competency system that evolves through practice and is deeply interconnected with institutional structures and constructive conflict frameworks (Elgoibar et al., 2017; Saundry et al., 2021). This perspective advances theoretical understanding by positioning neutrality not as a fixed or

absolute condition, but as a strategic orientation which include a skillful and intentional practice requiring transparency, balance, and adaptive judgment within complex organizational environments.

From a management point of view, improving internal mediation skills has clear strategic advantages, such as higher employee engagement, a healthier and more collaborative workplace culture, and lower turnover costs and exposure to claims. To get these results, organizations should set up structured mediation systems that are backed by clear policies, competency-based mediator training, open procedures, and measurable performance indicators. Investing in emotional intelligence, contextual awareness, and communication skills is especially important because these skills build trust, make HR mediators seem more legitimate, and keep conflict resolution effective over time.

5. Conclusion

This literature-based thematic review provides a thorough synthesis of current research regarding the role of HR in mediating workplace conflict.. The findings demonstrate the review's unique contribution by systematically repositioning HR from a predominantly administrative function to a strategic mediation capability that shapes constructive conflict cultures, facilitates open dialogue, and supports long-term organizational sustainability.

For HR-led mediation to be effective, it needs more than procedural compliance. It needs an integrated congruation of interrelated capabilities, , such as dynamic neutrality, contextual intelligence, emotional de-escalation, ethical judgment, and the ability to deal with power imbalances. By synthesizing fragmented insights across prior studies, this review addresses an identified gap in the literature concerning the absence of capability-based explanations for effective HR mediation outcomesWhen these capabilities are used in structured internal mediation systems, companies see less conflict, stronger relationships between employees, more psychological safety, and higher levels of employee engagement and retention.

This review's strategic HR mediation capability framework enhances theoretical comprehension by framing mediation as an organizational capability instead of a discrete or reactive intervention .

The framework directly responds to the literature gap concerning the limited conceptual integration of mediator neutrality, power dynamics, and organizational context by redefining neutrality as a strategic and actively managed orientation oriented toward long-term relational and performance outcomes rather than short-term dispute resolution. The framework thus provides a foundation for future empirical validation and interdisciplinary application across conflict management, organizational behavior, and human resource development research. In practice, the findings highlight the need for organizations to embed mediation competencies within formal conflict management systems supported by clear policies, competency-based mediator training, transparent procedures, and measurable performance indicators. . Establishing trust and procedural legitimacy is particularly critical, as these conditions enable HR mediators to exercise emotional and relational intelligence effectively and sustain stakeholder confidence. Organizations that put money into mediation skills not only save money on disputes, but they also make their workplaces healthier and their collaboration environments more resilient.

This review offers valuable insight; however, its conclusions are constrained by the dependence on published literature and the prevalence of cross-sectional research designs. Addressing these limitations, future research should directly engage the gaps identified in this review by examining HR-led mediation through longitudinal and multi-level performance metrics, comparative cross-cultural and cross-sectoral analyses, and technology-enhanced approaches such as AI-assisted bias monitoring and decision support.

Accordingly, future studies are encouraged to investigate: (1) quantitative effects of mediation on performance outcomes such as engagement and turnover reduction, (2) comparative studies of mediation models across industries and cultural contexts, (3) longitudinal evaluations of strategic mediation capability development, and (4) technological augmentation of mediation processes. Such research would advance both theoretical refinement and practical application of the proposed capability based framework.

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary Materials: Not applicable.

Author Contributions: For research articles with several authors, a short paragraph specifying their individual contributions must be provided. The following statements should be used “Conceptualization, E.S. and R.M.; methodology, R.M.; software, N.A.; validation, E.S., R.M. and N.A.; formal analysis, E.S.; investigation, R.M.; resources, N.A.; data curation, E.S.; writing—original draft preparation, R.M.; writing—review and editing, N.A.; visualization, R.M.; supervision, E.S.; project administration, N.A.; funding acquisition, E.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created in this study, as it is a qualitative literature review. All references are cited in the manuscript.

Acknowledgments: The authors extend their gratitude to Journal ABSI and all participating HR professionals who generously shared their time and insights throughout the data collection process. During the preparation of this manuscript, the authors used GPT-5.1 and Quillbot to support language refinement, academic formatting, and visualization assistance. The authors have carefully reviewed and edited all generated content and take full responsibility for the final published version of this article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Al-Sheikh, N. (2024). The effect of upward communication in conflict resolution: A case study of employers and employees in an organization. *International Journal of Conflict Management Studies*, 9(2), 44–58.
- Barsky, A. E. (2016). *Conflict resolution for the helping professions* (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press.

- Bingenheimer, A. (2019). HR as conflict mediator: Developing internal capacity for dispute resolution. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 30(5), 634–655.
- Bingenheimer, J. B. (2019). The human side of conflict: Developing competent mediators in organizations. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 30(2), 150–165.
- Bingham, L. B., & Pitts, D. W. (2002). Highlighting collaborative governance: The role of human resource management. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 22(2), 88–102.
- Bingham, L. B., & Pitts, D. W. (2002). Mediation in the workplace: Why human resource managers should be involved. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 22(4), 273–291.
- Bonilla, P., Armadans, I., & Anguera, M. T. (2020). Conflict mediation, emotional regulation and coping strategies in the educational field. *Frontiers in Education*, 5, 50.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
- Chen, H., & Park, S. (2025). A systematic review of workplace mistreatment interventions and future research. *Journal of Business Ethics*.
- Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development. (2023). *Workplace mediation: An approach to resolving workplace issues*.
<https://www.cipd.org/uk/knowledge/guides/workplace-mediation/>
- Deciu, V. (2022). The role of human resource management in ethics and compliance programs. *Psychology*, 13, 221–232.
- Elgoibar, P., Euwema, M., & Munduate, L. (2017). *Building trust and constructive conflict management in organizations*. Springer.

- Elgoibar, P., Medina, F. J., Euwema, M. C., & Munduate, L. (2021). Increasing integrative negotiation in European organizations through trustworthiness and trust. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 655448.
- Fong, C. Y. M., Tims, M., & Khapova, S. N. (2022). Coworker response to job crafting: Implications for willingness to cooperate and conflict. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 138, 103781.
- Gaba, V., & Joseph, J. (2023). Content and process: Organizational conflict and decision making. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1227966.
- Guo, X., Li, Y., & Han, J. (2025). The moderated mediation effect of power distance on workplace conflict and employee outcomes. *SAGE Open*, 15(1), 1–15.
- Hajar, E. S., & Sutariyono, S. (2025). *Manajemen riset operasional*.
- Human Resource Management Journal. (2022). Case study: Conflict mediation in Unilever Indonesia. *Human Resource Management Journal*.
- Kaur, R. (2025). Human resource professionals as workplace conflict mediators: Insights from the lived experiences of HR managers. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, 1–19.
- Kundi, Y. M., Badar, K., Sarfraz, M., & Ashraf, N. (2023). Interpersonal conflict as a barrier to task performance: The mediating role of workplace deviance and the moderating role of emotional intelligence. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 34(1), 104–124.
- Lipsky, D. B., Seeber, R. L., & Fincher, R. D. (2003). *Emerging systems for managing workplace conflict: Lessons from American corporations for managers and dispute resolution professionals*. Jossey-Bass.

- Lipsky, D. B., Seeber, R. L., & Fincher, R. D. (2020). *Emerging systems for managing workplace conflict* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- López, M., Martínez, J., & Delgado, R. (2025). Abusive supervision and counterproductive work behavior: The mediating role of defensive silence. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16, 1657730.
- Moore, C. W. (2014). *The mediation process: Practical strategies for resolving conflict* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Nicotera, A., & Jameson, J. (2021). Conflict in organizations and organizing. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Communication*. Oxford University Press.
- Park, S. (2022). The impact of workplace conflict on employee performance and organizational outcomes: The moderating role of emotional intelligence. *Sustainability*, 14(19), 12584.
- Peng, Y., Park, Y., Su, S., & Ma, J. (2023). Developing and testing a model of dynamic changes in work–school conflict and workplace deviance over time. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 38(3), 589–605.
- Rahim, M. A. (2023). *Managing conflict in organizations* (5th ed.). Routledge.
- Roper, I., & Higgins, P. (2020). Hidden in plain sight? The human resource management practitioner’s role in dealing with workplace conflict as a source of organisational–professional power. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 30, 508–524.
- Saundry, R., Fisher, V., & Kinsey, S. (2020). Disconnected human resource? Proximity and the (mis)management of workplace conflict. *Human Resource Management Journal*.
- Saundry, R., Latreille, P., & Ashman, I. (2021). Conflict resolution in the UK: The transformation of employment dispute resolution? *Economic and Industrial Democracy*, 42(2), 219–240.

- Saefullah, A., Noor, M. A., Hajar, E. S., Aisha, N., Agustina, I., & Noviar, E. (2025). Effectiveness of energy conservation program in the industry sector in improving the quality of human resources. In *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 3250(1), 040004.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339.
- Van Gramberg, B., Bamber, G. J., & Teicher, J. (2020). The role of mediation in resolving workplace relationship conflict. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 31(17), 2183–2207.
- Van Gramberg, B., Teicher, J., Bamber, G. J., & Cooper, B. (2019). Employee voice, intention to quit, and conflict resolution: Evidence from Australia. *ILR Review*, 73(2), 393–410.